

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/655,328	HARMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phuong Phu	2631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apper All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not includ will be mailed in due	ed course. <b>THIS</b>
1. ☑ This communication is responsive to <i>the amendment filed</i> of			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-20</u> .			
3.   The drawings filed on <u>05 September 2000</u> are accepted by	the Examiner.		
4.	been received.  been received in Application No cuments have been received in this is of this communication to file a reply ENT of this application.  itted. Note the attached EXAMINER' es reason(s) why the oath or declara t be submitted. on's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-6 a Amendment / Comment or in the O a Adec)) should be written on the drawing the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(content)	national stage application of the front (not the fig.)	quirements IOTICE OF
<ul> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0: Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material</li> </ul>	5. Notice of Informal P. 6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 8), 7. Examiner's Amendn 8. Examiner's Stateme 9. Other	(PTO-413), e nent/Comment	,

## REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

- 1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 9/3/04.
- Claims 1-20 are allowed. 2.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

References (6567476), (4442528), (3863025), (5416524) and (5086340) are additionally cited because they are pertinent to the claimed invention.

-Regarding to independent claim 1, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests the system as claimed. Cita (5534938) in view of Fimoff et al (5987070), (previously cited), fails to teach the limitation "said digital transmitting device and said digital receiving device are part of a portable terminal of a telecommunications system, and said output line from said primary transmitter means leads to said digital receiving device", in associated with other limitations recited in the claim. It would not have been obvious for one skilled in art to implement either of Cita and Fimoff et al, or a combination of them with other prior art of record, in order to make such an implementation leading to the claimed invention.

-Regarding to independent claims 3, 6 and 19, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests the system as claimed wherein the system comprises, in combinations, a primary transmitter means and a secondary transmitter means, in associated with limitations recited in the claim.

-Regarding to independent claim 9, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests the system as claimed. Cita, in view of Fimoff et al, fails to teach the limitation "said digital transmitting device and said digital receiving device are part of a portable terminal of a telecommunications system, and said input line to said primary receiver means leads from said Application/Control Number: 09/655,328

Art Unit: 2631

digital transmitting device", in associated with other limitations recited in the claim. It would not have been obvious for one skilled in art to implement either of Cita and Fimoff et al, or a combination of them, with other prior art of record, in order to make such an implementation leading to the claimed invention.

-Regarding to independent claim 10, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests the system as claimed wherein the system comprises, in combinations, a primary receiver means and a secondary receiver means, in associated with limitations recited in the claim.

-Regarding to independent claim 15, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests the system as claimed. Cita, in view of Fimoff et al, fails to teach the limitation "said output line from said primary transmitter means leads to said input line of said primary receiver means", in associated with other limitations recited in the claim. It would not have been obvious for one skilled in art to implement either of Cita and Fimoff et al, or a combination of them, with other prior art of record, in order to make such an implementation leading to the claimed invention.

-Regarding to independent claim 16, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests the method as claimed. Cita, in view of Fimoff et al, fails to teach the limitation "the transmitting of the serial sequence of data bits and the number of associated synchronization signals over the wired connection takes place within a portable terminal of the telecommunication system, and said wired connection comprises said output line", in associated with other limitations recited in the claim. It would not have been obvious for one skilled in art to implement either of Cita and Fimoff et al, or a combination of them with other prior art of record, in order to make such an implementation leading to the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/655,328

Art Unit: 2631

-Regarding to independent claim 20, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests the system as claimed. Cita, in view of Fimoff et al, fails to teach the limitation "said first and said second components are located within a portable terminal of the telecommunications system, and said output line from said primary transmitter means leads to said digital receiving device", in associated with other limitations recited in the claim. It would not have been obvious for one skilled in art to implement either of Cita and Fimoff et al, or a combination of them, with other prior art of record, in order to make such an implementation leading to the claimed invention.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Phu whose telephone number is 571-272-3009. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-2:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/655,328

Art Unit: 2631

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuong Phu

PHUOMG PHU PRMARY EXAMPER

Phuong Phu

1/19/05

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2631